

# Office Action Sample 1- 14801964

## Contents

- 1 [Application-14/801,964](#)
- 2 [Non-final Office Action](#)
- 3 [References cited in rejection](#)
- 4 [PHASE 1:](#)
  - ◆ 4.1 [Claim vs Reference chart](#)
  - ◆ 4.2 [Prosecution Data Sheet](#)
- 5 [PHASE 2:](#)
  - ◆ 5.1 [Complete Office Action Response](#)

## Application-14/801,964

The following is the Application-14/801,964 (filed July 17, 2015) for which Non-final Office action has been issued. The invention relates generally to a method for software discovery in an environment with heterogeneous machine groups.

**Title:** Software Discovery in an Environment with Heterogeneous Machine Groups

**Summary/Novelty of the invention:** *A mechanism is provided for software discovery in an environment with heterogeneous machine groups may be provided. A group comprising computing systems that have similar software program installations is defined. A first scan procedure is performed by scanning each computing system of the group using a first software signature catalogue to identify installed programs. Software signatures of identified installed programs are added to a base installation software catalogue. A second scan procedure is performed by scanning the group of computing systems using the base installation software catalogue to identify installed software programs.*

- [Application\\_14801964](#)

## Non-final Office Action

The following is the Non-final Office action issued by the Examiner- **Stephen David Berman** (Art Unit 2192). The Office Action was issued on March 25, 2016. The Office Action has claims objections, 112(b), 101 and 103 rejection.

- [Non-final Office Action\\_14801964](#)

## References cited in rejection

The below listed files are the three cited references in the Non-final Office Action 14/801,964.

- [Primary Reference\\_Vidal-20120054733](#)
- [Secondary Reference1\\_Perrone-7506038](#)
- [Secondary Reference2\\_Back-20070220507](#)

## PHASE 1:

### Claim vs Reference chart

The following Claim vs Reference chart lists out claim and reference wise rejection. This chart provides birds eye-view of the claims in the Application and the rejections given by Examiner and the corresponding references cited against the claims.

- [Claim Vs Reference Chart\\_14801964](#)

Claims rejected	4	1-11 and 14-22	14-18	1-11 and 19-22	14-18	2,5-7, 11-13, 15-18, 20-22
References Cited by Examiner	Indefinite	Judicial exception	Non-statutory subject matter	Vidal	Vidal	Claims Objections
				Perrone	Perrone	
					Back	
Claim No./ Rejection under sections for '964	112(b)	101	101	103	103	
<b>1 (Indpt-Method)</b>		X		X		
2		X		X		X
3		X		X		
4	X	X		X		
5		X		X		X
6		X		X		X
7		X		X		X
8		X		X		
9		X		X		
10		X		X		
<b>11 (Indpt-System)</b>		X		X		
12						
13						
<b>14 (Indpt-CPP)</b>		X	X		X	
15		X	X		X	X
16		X	X		X	X
17		X	X		X	X
18		X	X		X	X
19		X		X		X
20		X		X		X
21		X		X		X
22		X		X		X

Claim Vs Reference chart

## Prosecution Data Sheet

The below Prosecution Data Sheet (PDS) is a brief of the proposed amendment/argument.

1. Provides the extension dates after the statutory period and the corresponding fees applicable for each extension
2. Provides reference validation check (whether the reference cited by examiner is valid)
3. Provides proposed response to each Rejection/Objection in the Application.

• [Prosecution Datasheet\\_14801964](#)

<b>Prosecution Data Sheet</b>	
Date this data sheet was prepared: May 13, 2016	
APN: 14/801,964	Filing/371(c) Date: July 17, 2015
Title: Software Discovery in an Environment with Heterogeneous Machine Groups	
Publication No: US 2016-0026449 A1	
Attorney Docket: DE920140014US1	Designation Pair (AIA/Pre-AIA): AIA
Status: Non Final Action Mailed	Date Mailed: Mar 25, 2016
Shortened Statutory Period for Reply: 3 Months From Mailing Date	
Due Date: June 27, 2016	
Extension Dates:	Amount:
1 Month Extension Period: June 28, 2016 to July 25, 2016	200.00
2 Month Extension Period: July 26, 2013 to August 25, 2016	600.00
3 Month Extension Period: August 26, 2016 to September 26, 2016	1400.00
Abandonment Date: September 27, 2016	
Inventors:	
<u>Marcin Gierlak</u>	Krakow, (PL)
<u>Bartlomiej T. Malecki</u>	Krakow, (PL)
<u>Slawomir T. Mezyk</u>	Krakow, (PL)
<u>Michal Paluch</u>	Krakow, (PL)
Applicant:	

## **PHASE 2:**

### **Complete Office Action Response**

The following Office action response is the complete draft which contains all the response to obviate/rebut the rejection used by the Examiner against the Application.

- [Complete Office Action Response\\_14801964](#)