

## 101 rejections of Software Patents(Date of Rejections After October-30-2008)

Sl.No.	Patent/Publication No.	Date of Publication	Application Date	Date of Rejection	FR or N/FR	Rejection type	101 Rejection	102 Rejection	103 Rejection
1	US20080201671A1	8/21/2008	2/16/2007	2/25/2009	N/FR	101 and 103 rejections	Claims 1-1 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	N/A	Claims 1-4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 being unpatentable over Beaujouan, US Patent 5,696,771, Higuchi, US No. 7,299,200.
2	US20080155477A1	6/26/2008	12/22/2006	3/4/2009	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 1-1 1 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-5, 7-14, and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by "Optimize Your PocketPC Development" by MSDN Magazine (hereafter MSDN).	Claims 6 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 being unpatentable over "Optimize Your PocketPC Development" by MSDN Magazine (hereafter MSDN).
3	US20080155460A1	6/26/2008	12/22/2006	3/17/2009	N/FR	101 and 102 rejections	Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by "Maps Tour" by Google Maps Help Center (hereafter Google Maps).	N/A
4	US20080127018A1	5/29/2008	10/31/2006	2/6/2009	N/FR	101 and 103 rejections	Claims 1-1 0 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	N/A	Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 being unpatentable over Nagai, US Patent 5,917,729 Viswanath, US Patent 6,782,290 Page 2 "Fast Efficient Area Placement CellShifting Local Refinement a Hybrid Network ISPD'04, 2004, Phoenix, Arizona, USA Copyright 1-581 13-872-04/000000.
5	US20080127013A1	5/29/2008	10/25/2006	1/29/2009	N/FR	101, 102 and 112 rejections	1. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. 2. Claims 1-1 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-3, 7-1 0, 12-21, 23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Levy (US 200610095869 A1 ).	N/A

6	US20080127005A1	5/29/2008	9/7/2006	12/17/2008	N/FR	101 rejection	Claims 1, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The invention claims a method for analyzing a circuit.	N/A	N/A
7	US20080097923A1	4/24/2008	3/9/2007	1/6/2009	N/FR	101, 102 and 112 rejections	Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-16 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ginter (US 5892900).	N/A
8	US20080092106A1	4/17/2008	9/13/2007	4/3/2009	N/FR	101 and 102 rejections	Claim 1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claim invention is directed to nonstatutory subject mater. In re Bilski, 88 U.S.P.Q.2d 1391 (Fed. Cir. 2008) (en bane).	Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ye et al. (U.S Patent 7488933).	N/A
9	US20080204773A1	8/28/2008	2/26/2007	3/19/2009	N/FR	101 rejection	1. Claims 1-4, 7-10, 13-14 and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention. Supreme Court precedent <sup>1</sup> and recent Federal Circuit decisions <sup>2</sup> indicate that a statutory "process" under 35 U.S.C. 101 must (1) be tied to another statutory category (such as a particular apparatus), or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing. (2 In re Bilski, 88 USPQ2d 1385 (Fed. Cir. 2008).) 2. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. 3. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Venable et al. (6,972,867) in view of Kanamori et al. (4,929,978).	N/A	N/A
10	US20060070127A1	3/30/2006	9/15/2005	3/19/2009	N/FR	101, 103 and 112 rejections	Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 1 as recited is directed toward a method comprising a series of steps or acts. However, as per In re Bilski 88 USPQ2d 1385,	N/A	Claims 1-2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Lawrence et al. US 2004/0186000 (hereinafter "Lawrence").

							for a method/process to be statutory, the claim must (1) be tied to a particular machine or apparatus, or (2) transform a particular article to a different state or thing.		2004/0193 (hereinafter "Doc").
11	US20060067353A1	3/30/2006	11/29/2004	3/13/2009	N/FR	101, 102, 103 and 112 rejections	1. Claims 1-7 and 8-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. 2. claims 1-26 are rejected as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. <i>In re Bilski</i> , 88 USPQ2d 1385 (Fed. Cir. 2008).	Claims 1-3, 8-10, 12-13, 19-22, 27-31, 33, 36-37 and 39-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by 3GPP TR 23.846 1.0.0, Technical Report, pages 1-46, January 2002 (hereinafter "Doc").	1. Claims 4, 5, 14-18 and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Doc in <i>Lee et al (US 20050185656 A1)</i> (hereinafter "Lee"). Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Doc in <i>Lee et al (US 20050185656 A1)</i> (hereinafter "Lee").
12	US20060067887A1	3/30/2006	6/22/2005	3/18/2009	N/FR	101, 102, 103 and 112 rejections	Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention. Supreme Court precedent and recent Federal Circuit decisions indicate that a statutory "process" under 35 U.S.C. 101 must (1) be tied to a particular machine or apparatus, or (2) transform a particular article to a different state or thing. <i>In re Bilski</i> , 88 USPQ2d 1385 (Fed. Cir. 2008).	Claims 10-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,008,185 to Bacus (newly cited).	Claims 4, 5, 14-18 and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Bacus in <i>Computer-Aided Detection of Lung Cancer Nodules</i> (US 5,008,185) (hereinafter "Bacus"). Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Schnorr et al. (US 20020099222 A1) (hereinafter "Schnorr").
13	US20050075274A1	4/7/2005	9/8/2004	2/9/2009	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 1-6 and 8-10 are drawn to a process. A process is statutory subject matter under 35 U.S.C. 101 if: (1) it is tied to a particular machine or apparatus or (2) it transforms an article to a different state or thing ( <i>In re Bilski</i> , 88 USPQ2d 1385 Fed. Cir. 2008).	Claims 1-6 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by <i>Grass et al. (US 20010041964 A1)</i> .	Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over <i>Grass et al. (US 20010041964 A1)</i> in view of <i>Almquist et al. (US 6,340,330 B1)</i> .
14	US20060067560A1	3/30/2006	9/26/2005	1/7/2009	N/FR	101 and 102	Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not	Claims 1, 6, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over <i>Grass et al. (US 20010041964 A1)</i> in view of <i>Almquist et al. (US 6,340,330 B1)</i> .	N/A

						rejections	falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention. Supreme Court precedent 1 and recent Federal Circuit decisions (In re Bilski, 88 USPQ2d 1385 (Fed. Cir. 2008).) indicate that a statutory "process" under 35 U.S.C. 101 must (1) be tied to another statutory category (such as a particular apparatus), or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing.	rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kasai (US 2001/0021251).	
15	US20050075953A1	4/7/2005	10/2/2003	12/16/2008	FR	101 and 103 rejections	Claims 10-18 and 28-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101. Based on Supreme Court precedent and recent Federal Circuit decisions, a 35 U.S.C. § 101 process must (1) be tied to a particular machine or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or materials) to a different state or thing. In re Bilski et al., 88 USPQ 2d 1385 CAFC (2008); Diamond v. Diehr, 450 U.S. 175, 184 (1981); Parker v. Flook, 437 U.S. 584, 588 n.9 (1978); Gottschalk v. Benson, 409 U.S. 63, 70 (1972); Cochrane v. Deener, 94 U.S. 780, 787-88 (1876).	N/A	
16	US20050076103A1	4/7/2005	9/22/2003	2/5/2009	N/FR	101 and 102 rejections	Claim(s) 1- 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention. While the claims recite a series of steps or acts to be performed, a statutory "process" under 35 U.S.C. 101 must (1) be tied to particular machine, or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing. See page 10 of In Re Bilski 88 USPQ2d 1385.	Claims 1- 10 & 23- 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nachman et al. (hereinafter Nachman) U.S. Publication No.: 2001/0027474 A1.	
17	US20050076331A1	4/7/2005	10/2/2003	2/18/2009	N/FR	101 and 102 rejections	Claims 7, 14, 15, 21, 24, 27-32, 34, 41-46, and 53-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. A claim that requires one or more acts to be performed defines a process. However, not all processes are statutory under 35 U.S.C. § 101. To be statutory, a claimed process must either: (1) be tied to a particular machine or apparatus, or (2) transform a particular article into a different state or thing. In re Bilski, 545 F.3d 943, 954 (Fed. Cir. 2008) (en banc).	Claims 53-55 and 58-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Evans et al., "Splint Manual, Version 3.1.1-1," June 5, 2003 (prior art of record; hereinafter "[Splint]").	
18	US20050078755A1	4/14/2005	10/14/2004	3/30/2009	N/FR	101 rejection	Claims 1-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention. Supreme Court precedent	N/A	N/A

							1 and recent Federal Circuit decisions (In re Bilski, 88 USPQ2d 1385 (Fed. Cir. 2008.)) indicate that a statutory "process" under 35 U.S.C. 101 must (1) be tied to another statutory category (such as a particular apparatus), or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing.		
19	US20050078869A1	4/14/2005	7/23/2004	11/5/2008	N/FR	101 rejection	Claims 1-12, 14-21, 23-33, 36-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 for nonstatutory subject matter.	N/A	N/A
20	US20080109315A1	5/8/2008	12/21/2007	2/13/2009	N/FR	101 and 112 rejections	Claim 42 recites a method where a payoff indicator is calculated, but no particular machine is used for the calculations.	N/A	N/A
21	US20080109314A1	5/8/2008	12/21/2007	12/29/2008	N/FR	101 and 103 rejections	Claims 33-36 are not tied to a particular machine or apparatus nor do they transform a particular article into a different state or thing; therefore, claims 33-36 are non-statutory under § 101.	N/A	Claims 33-36 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention. Supreme Court precedent 1 and recent Federal Circuit decisions (In re Bilski, 88 USPQ2d 1385 (Fed. Cir. 2008.)) indicate that a statutory "process" under 35 U.S.C. 101 must (1) be tied to another statutory category (such as a particular apparatus), or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing.
22	US20050078866A1	4/14/2005	1/23/2004	12/29/2008	N/FR	101 and 103 rejections	Claims 1, 2, 4-12, 37 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention. Supreme Court precedent 1 and recent Federal Circuit decisions (In re Bilski, 88 USPQ2d 1385 (Fed. Cir. 2008.)) indicate that a statutory "process" under 35 U.S.C. 101 must (1) be tied to another statutory category (such as a particular apparatus), or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing.	N/A	1. Claims 33-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention. Supreme Court precedent 1 and recent Federal Circuit decisions (In re Bilski, 88 USPQ2d 1385 (Fed. Cir. 2008.)) indicate that a statutory "process" under 35 U.S.C. 101 must (1) be tied to another statutory category (such as a particular apparatus), or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing.  2. Claims 1, 2, 4-12, 37 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention. Supreme Court precedent 1 and recent Federal Circuit decisions (In re Bilski, 88 USPQ2d 1385 (Fed. Cir. 2008.)) indicate that a statutory "process" under 35 U.S.C. 101 must (1) be tied to another statutory category (such as a particular apparatus), or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing.
23	US20060069519A1	3/30/2006	12/2/2005	11/28/2008	FR	101 and 112 rejections	In light of the recent court decisions in In re Bilski, etc., the method claims would have been rejected also because the claims such as claim 1 are not tied to another statutory category such as a machine or apparatus.	N/A	N/A



28	US20080168409A1	7/10/2008	1/9/2007	2/3/2009	N/FR	101, 102, 103 and 112 rejections	Claims 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because claim 15 recites a computer program product comprising a computer readable medium.	Claims I, 8 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tieg et al. (US 6526555).
29	US20080162377A1	7/3/2008	12/19/2007	1/7/2009	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 26-49 and 51 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because based on Supreme Court precedent (Diamond v. Diehr, 450 U.S. 175, 184 (1981)); Parker v. Flook, 437	Claims 1-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being disclosed by Cifrese et al., USPAP 2007101 92223.
30	US20080235177A1	9/25/2008	3/22/2007	1/27/2009	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the language of the claim raises a question as to whether the claim is directed merely to an abstract idea that is not tied to a technological art, environment or machine which would result in a practice application producing a concrete, useful, and tangible result to form the basis of statutory subject matter under 35 U.S.C 101.	Claims 1, 9-11 and 14-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lawrence et al. (US Patent No. 7,389,265 B2, hereinafter "Lawrence").
31	US20080197846A1	8/21/2008	3/10/2008	12/17/2008	N/FR	101 and 103 rejections	Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	N/A

32	US20080235739A1	9/25/2008	11/13/2006	11/25/2008	N/FR	101, 102, 103 and 112 rejections	Claims 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because computer programs per se cannot be patentable.	Claims 1-3, 10-15, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dureau et al. (U.S. Publication No. 200310093806).	1. Claims 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Dureau et al. (U.S. Publication No. 200310093806) applied to claims 1-3 above, and in view of Sano et al. (U.S. Publication No. 200210051611). Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dureau et al. (U.S. Publication No. 200310093806) and in view of Sano et al. (U.S. Publication No. 200210051611) applied to claims 1-3 above, and in view of Roche et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,623,613).
33	US20080235429A1	9/25/2008	3/23/2007	12/5/2008	N/FR	101 and 103 rejections	Claims 13, 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 13 and 15 are directed to a program on a propagating signal.	N/A	Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Landis et al. (WO-2005161111) in view of Jia et al. (CN 101072241 PN 7,224,111).
34	US20080162427A1	7/3/2008	12/28/2006	12/10/2008	N/FR	101 and 102 rejections	Claims 15- 20 are rejected under 35 USC 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable over Choi et al (US Pub. No. 2004101 86826), herein after "Choi".	N/A
35	US20080154907A1	6/26/2008	12/22/2006	1/15/2009	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claim 23 and depending claims 24-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because they pertain to nonstatutory subject matter.	Claims 1-18, 21-40, and 43-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Plastina et al. (?Plastina? hereafter) which filed U.S. Patent Application 20041001 9658.	Claims 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Plastina et al. (US Publication No. 20041001 9658) applied to claims 1-18, 21-40, and 43-51 above, and in view of Newell et al. (US Patent Application No. 2006/0195161).
36	US20080155641A1	6/26/2008	12/20/2006	3/18/2009	N/FR	101 and 102 rejections	Claims 1-1 4, 15, 16-25, 26, and 27-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Heim (US Publication No. 2006101 84490).	N/A
37	US20080155592A1	6/26/2008	12/22/2006	2/3/2009	N/FR	101 and 103 rejections	Claims 15-21 and 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as follows: Claims 15-21 claim "a computer readable	N/A	Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Pelkey et al. (US 7,032,235) and Bove (US 7,2331 4).

							medium containing a computer program for. ...." and Claims 25-27 claim "a data structure stored in memory".		
38	US20080155476A1	6/26/2008	12/20/2006	11/26/2008	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 13-1 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1, 4-6, 9-12, 17-18, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Callegari (PGPub. No. 200310004802).	1. Claim 2 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Callegari (200310004802). 2. View of No. (PGPub No. 200510130711). 3. Claim 3 is under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Callegari (200310004802). 4. View of Ma (No. 200711000000). 5. Filing date 2006. 3. Claims 13, 15-16, 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Callegari (PGPub. No. 200310004802). 6. View of Eliel (PGPub No. 200810086321). 7. Provisional application Oct. 10, 2007. 8. Claim 14 is under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Callegari (200310004802). 9. View of Eliel (PGPub No. 200810086321). 10. Provisional application Oct. 10, 2007. 11. Further in view of Northcutt (No. 200510130711).
39	US20080155471A1	6/26/2008	12/20/2006	3/6/2009	N/FR	101 and 102 rejections	Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter (i.e., computer data signal that is not tied to any machine).	Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. App. Pub. 200310065721 to Roskind.	N/A
40	US20080155342A1	6/26/2008	12/21/2006	4/2/2009	N/FR	101, 103 and 112 rejections	Claims 7, 9-14 and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being directed to nonstatutory subject matter	N/A	1. Claims 1-2, 11 and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thekkadath (Patent Application No. 2006102222).
41	US20080155332A1	6/26/2008	10/30/2006	12/29/2008	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claims are	Claims 1-2, 4, 6-7, 11-12, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thekkadath (Patent Application No. 2006102222).	1. Claims 3-6, 8-10, 13-15, 17-19 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thekkadath (Patent Application No. 2006102222).

							directed to non-statutory subject matter.	under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Loison (US 200310046529 A1).	being unpatentable over Loison (US 200310046529 A1). view of Ta (US 20041013522 A1). 2. Claims 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Loison (US 200310046529 A1). view of Ba (US 20021015322 A1). 3. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Loison (US 200310046529 A1). view of Ma (US 6,922,722 B2).
42	US20080127229A1	5/29/2008	9/8/2006	3/5/2009	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 17-20 are referred to as Garnett?459. 2. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 11-14, and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pecone et al. (US 6,098,140), hereafter referred to as Pecone et al.1.?140.	1. Claims 1-2, 6, 11-12 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Garnett (US 2003/0033459), hereafter referred to as Garnett. 2. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 11-14, and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pecone et al. (US 6,098,140), hereafter referred to as Pecone. 3. Claims 5, 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Pecone (US 6,098,140).	1. Claims 1-2, 6, 11-12 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable over Garnett (US 2003/0033459), hereafter referred to as Garnett. 2. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 11-14, and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pecone et al. (US 6,098,140), hereafter referred to as Pecone. 3. Claims 5, 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Pecone (US 6,098,140).
43	US20080127219A1	5/29/2008	9/15/2006	2/27/2009	N/FR	101 and 102 rejections	Claims 10-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Upton (US 200310093471).	N/A
44	US20080127103A1	5/29/2008	7/27/2006	12/10/2008	N/FR	101 and 103 rejections	Claims 21 -30,34,35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	N/A	Claims are under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Voruganti (Publication No. 20050137521 A1). view of Pa (US Public Number 20050137521 A1).
45	US20080098443A1	4/24/2008	1/11/2007	11/28/2008	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 17, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention.	Claims 2-4, 7-11, 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ellis et al. (US 200210174430).	1. Claims 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Ellis (US 200210174430). 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Ellis (US 200210174430). 3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Ellis (US 200210174430). 4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Ellis (US 200210174430). 5. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Ellis (US 200210174430). 6. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Ellis (US 200210174430). 7. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Ellis (US 200210174430). 8. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Ellis (US 200210174430). 9. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Ellis (US 200210174430). 10. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Ellis (US 200210174430). 11. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Ellis (US 200210174430). 12. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Ellis (US 200210174430). 13. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Ellis (US 200210174430). 14. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Ellis (US 200210174430). 15. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Ellis (US 200210174430). 16. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Ellis (US 200210174430). 17. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Ellis (US 200210174430). 18. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Ellis (US 200210174430). 19. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Ellis (US 200210174430).
46	US20080098423A1	4/24/2008	10/20/2006	2/27/2009	FR				

						101, 102, 103 and 112 rejections	Claims 1-9 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-12, 14-17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zigmund et al. (US 6698020).	1. Claims 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zigmund et al. (US 6698020). 2. Claims 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young in view of Palazzo et al. (US 2003101152).
47	US20080098242A1	4/24/2008	10/19/2006	3/31/2009	N/FR	101 and 102 rejections	Claims 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-4, 7-10, 13-15 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pessolano, U.S. Patent No. 7,340,628.	N/A
48	US20080098187A1	4/24/2008	10/18/2006	1/16/2009	N/FR	101 and 102 rejections	Claims 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed limitation lines 2-3, "computer usable medium" is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Micka (US. Pub. No. 200310158869).	N/A
49	US20080098131A1	4/24/2008	9/26/2007	1/22/2009	N/FR	101 and 102 rejections	Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to nonstatutory subject matter.	Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent Application Publication No. US 200710033225 A1 to Davis.	N/A
50	US20080098067A1	4/24/2008	10/20/2006	2/20/2009	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claim 1-18, 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Santos (US 2003/0158900 A1).	1. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Santos (US 2003/0158900 A1) in view of Dong et al. (US 2003/0158900 A1). Hereinafter "Dong". 2. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Santos (US 2003/0158900 A1) in view of Mannaru (US 20060184609 A1). Hereinafter "Mannaru".
51	US20080098066A1	4/24/2008	10/20/2006	2/19/2009	N/FR	101 and 102 rejections	Claims 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claim 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Durazo et al. (US 200510004990 A1). Hereinafter "Durazo".	N/A
52	US20080098062A1	4/24/2008	10/20/2006	12/10/2008	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	1. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Deng et al. (US 20060184609 A1). 2. Claims 7-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Deng et al. (US 20060184609 A1). 3. Claims 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Deng et al. (US 20060184609 A1).	Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deng et al. (US 20060184609 A1), in view of Deng et al. (US 20060184609 A1).

53	US20080098051A1	4/24/2008	1/24/2007	1/12/2009	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 12-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 12 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Apple Inc - Technical Note TNI 150 - HFS Plus Volume Format dated March 5, 2004 - Applicant's IDS (hereinafter, Technical note TNI 150).	1. Claims 12-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. 2. Claims 12 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Apple Inc - Technical Note TNI 150 - HFS Plus Volume Format dated March 5, 2004 - Applicant's IDS (hereinafter, Technical note TNI 150).
54	US20080097974A1	4/24/2008	10/18/2006	3/6/2009	FR	101 and 102 rejections	Claim 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	N/A	Claims 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. 2. Claims 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.
55	US20080098054A1	4/24/2008	10/23/2006	3/4/2009	N/A	101, 102 and 112 rejections	Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-11 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Subramoney et al. US Publication 2005/0198088.	Claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roberts et al. (U.S. Publication 200500198088) in view of Bumgarner et al. (U.S. Patent 7,003,441) and Humphrey et al. (U.S. Patent 6,674,700).
56	US20080097945A1	4/24/2008	12/19/2007	12/18/2008	N/FR	101, 102, 103 and 112 rejections	Claims 1-2, 7,12-19,23 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the disclosed invention is inoperative and therefore lacks utility.	Claims 13-17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lee et al. "A framework for constructing features and models for intrusion detection systems", TISSEC, 2000, pp 227-261), hereinafter LS.	Claims 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over LS and Zhang et al. (U.S. Patent 6,674,700).
57	US20080097899A1	4/24/2008	7/13/2007	10/30/2008	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-6, 8-9, 15-20, 22-29, and 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Josephson et al. (hereinafter "Josephson"); (US 5,412,190).	Claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Josephson et al. (US 5,412,190) and Official Gazette.
58	US20080086556A1	4/10/2008	10/10/2006	1/9/2009	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 31-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-2,4-5,8,12-17,19-20,22-24,26-27, and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Vanderbeck et al. (US 7,000,016, hereinafter Vanderbeck).	1. Claims 1-2,4-5,8,12-17,19-20,22-24,26-27, and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Vanderbeck et al. (US 7,000,016, hereinafter Vanderbeck). 2. Claims 1-2,4-5,8,12-17,19-20,22-24,26-27, and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vanderbeck et al. ("Entropic Dynamics", US 7,000,016, hereinafter "Entropic Dynamics") with view of Entropic Dynamics et al. ("Entropic Dynamics", US 7,000,016, hereinafter "Entropic Dynamics").

								Information Worksho Proceedings Fifth Amendment hereinafter Claims 1-28, and under 35 U.S.C. as being unpatentable over Various Applied for view of 2004/01 hereinafter	
59	US20080082613A1	4/3/2008	9/28/2006	3/30/2009	N/FR	101, 103 and 112 rejections	Claims 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	N/A	
60	US20080082400A1	4/3/2008	9/28/2007	3/23/2009	N/FR	101, 102 and 112 rejections	Claims 1-7 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by US Patent Application Publication Number 2006/0173744 by Kandasamy et al.	
61	US20080079923A1	4/3/2008	8/9/2007	11/13/2008	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-4, 8-11 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jain [US 20030206281 A1].	
62	US20080098264A1	4/24/2008	12/19/2007	4/6/2009	N/FR	101 rejection	Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter.	N/A	
63	US20080092001A1	4/17/2008	10/3/2006	3/20/2009	N/FR	101, 103 and 112 rejections	Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because: Regarding claim 34, the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. "A computer program product" is non-statutory subject matter. Applicant has failed to recite a physical media	N/A	1. Claims 1- 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being unpatentable over Toyoda [US Patent 7,203,771] Toyoda [US Patent 7,203,772] Roberts [US Patent 6,873,833] Roberts [US Patent 6,873,834] rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toyoda [US Patent 6,873,833].

							for the computer program. Therefor the claim is not directed as a useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or improvement thereof. MPEP 2106.01		
64	US20080091978A1	4/17/2008	10/13/2006	12/31/2008	N/FR	101 and 102 rejections	Claims 1-11 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ta et al. U.S. Publication No. 2005/0262086 (herein as ?Ta?).	N/A
65	US20080091843A1	4/17/2008	10/12/2006	3/17/2009	N/FR	101, 103 and 112 rejections	Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	N/A	Claims under 3 as being over Seo (seocomm archive 10, 2006 Seo) in (Zann M page or dated M hereinat)
66	US20060069914A1	3/30/2006	8/17/2005	12/31/2008	N/FR	101 and 103 rejections	Regarding claims 1-10, the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	N/A	Claims under 3 as being over AI Application Web/Mc authentic web acc and Kar Application 03/0775
67	US20060069741A1	3/30/2006	5/26/2005	11/14/2008	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claim 41 is rejected under U.S.C.101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 41-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.102 (b) as being anticipated by Bays et al hereinafter Bays (US. 2003/0204619 A1).	Claims rejected 103(a) as unpatentable (US 2006/0262086 view of 6,944,551)
68	US20060067686A1	3/30/2006	9/29/2005	3/31/2009	FR	101 rejection	Claims 1,3-5,7-9,11-13, and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to	N/A	N/A

							non-statutory subject matter.		
69	US20060069615A1	3/30/2006	9/29/2004	1/9/2009	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	1. Claims 1-12, 21-22 and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1,3,4,8,9,21,23,28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Taub et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0105666).	Claims 5-7,10-23,28 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over unpatented prior art. (2003/0105666).
70	US20060067714A1	3/30/2006	6/7/2005	3/13/2009	N/FR	101, 102, 103 and 112 rejections	Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-4, 8, and 10-16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Maeda et al. (US 5,491,678).	Claims 1-4, 8, and 10-16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Maeda et al. (US 5,491,678).
71	US20060067425A1	3/30/2006	8/24/2005	4/6/2009	N/FR	101, 103 and 112 rejections	Claims 4-10, and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention.	N/A	Claims 17, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over unpatented prior art. (2003/0105666).
72	US20060068745A1	3/30/2006	9/27/2004	12/10/2008	N/FR	101 and 103 rejections	Claim(s) 14-25, 29, 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention.	N/A	Claims 1-3, 5-13, 15-28, 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McLeod et al. (US 7,218,390).



								being unpatented. Cheng in view of Griswold in view of Pat. 6,933,380. Claims rejected under 103(a) as being unpatented. Cheng in view of Griswold in view of Pat. 5,694,410. hereinabove. Lindbergh	
77	US20060069916A1	3/30/2006	8/17/2005	12/30/2008	N/FR	101 and 103 rejections	claims 1-10, the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims recite only perfunctory recitation of functional material (device, product, etc.). Aside from this, the claims recite only nonfunctional descriptive material. <i>In re Lowry</i> , 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994); <i>In re Ngai</i> , 367 F.3d 1336, 70 USPQ2d 1862 (Fed. Cir. 2004).	N/A	Claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatented over Hall et al. Application application (AIQayeri et al. Web/Mobile authentication web application)
78	US20060069906A1	3/30/2006	9/30/2004	4/8/2009	N/FR	101, 103 and 112 rejections	Claim 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claims recite a BIOS (basic input output system) containing instructions.	N/A	1. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 103(a) as being unpatented. Patent Natu et al. Patent Natu et al. 2004/016712, 12,141,121 are rejected under 103(a) as being unpatented. Patent Natu et al. Patent Natu et al. 2004/016712, 12,141,121, 13,370,333 are rejected under 103(a) as being unpatented. Patent Natu et al. Patent Natu et al. Zintel et al.
79	US20060069713A1	3/30/2006	8/27/2004	3/18/2009	N/FR	101, 102, 103 and 112 rejections	Claims 33-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	1. Claims 1-2, 4-7, 9, 11-15, 33-34, 36-37, and 41-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Thurlow et al. (US 5,917,489). 2. Claims 16-18, 20-28, 30-32, and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Geiger et al. (US 6,073,142).	1. Claims 1-2, 4-7, 9, 11-15, 33-34, 36-37, and 41-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Thurlow et al. (US 5,917,489). 2. Claims 16-18, 20-28, 30-32, and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Geiger et al. (US 6,073,142). <b>Thurlow et al. (US 5,917,489) is prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) because it anticipates the claims. Geiger et al. (US 6,073,142) is prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) because it anticipates the claims. RFC 2812, Transfer, Claims rejected under 103(a) as being unpatented. Thurlow et al. (US 5,917,489) and Geiger et al. (US 6,073,142) are prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) because they anticipate the claims.</b>

									over Ge of RFC
80	US20060069667A1	3/30/2006	9/30/2004	1/21/2009	N/FR	101 and 103 rejections	Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	N/A	Claims 1-10,12 &28-29 under 3 as being over Dw Pub No A1, hereto as Dw Rothwe Patent No 81), hereto as Ro
81	US20060069631A1	3/30/2006	9/21/2005	1/6/2009	FR	101, 102, 103 and 112 rejections	Claims 14 - 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1, 7, 8,11 -14,17,20,21 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by O'Brien et al (USPub. No. 2003/0144950).	Claims under 3 as being over O? Rudman No. 200
82	US20060068783A1	3/30/2006	3/15/2005	11/6/2008	N/FR	101 and 112 rejections	Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	N/A	Claims 1,8-9,14-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being over Hyvarinen (US Patent No. 6,456,202/002/003/004/005/006/007/008/009/0010/0011/0012/0013/0014/0015/0016/0017/0018/0019/0020/0021/0022/0023/0024/0025/0026/0027/0028/0029/0030/0031/0032/0033/0034/0035/0036/0037/0038/0039/0040/0041/0042/0043/0044/0045/0046/0047/0048/0049/0050/0051/0052/0053/0054/0055/0056/0057/0058/0059/0060/0061/0062/0063/0064/0065/0066/0067/0068/0069/0070/0071/0072/0073/0074/0075/0076/0077/0078/0079/0080/0081/0082/0083/0084/0085/0086/0087/0088/0089/0090/0091/0092/0093/0094/0095/0096/0097/0098/0099/00100/00101/00102/00103/00104/00105/00106/00107/00108/00109/00110/00111/00112/00113/00114/00115/00116/00117/00118/00119/00120/00121/00122/00123/00124/00125/00126/00127/00128/00129/00130/00131/00132/00133/00134/00135/00136/00137/00138/00139/00140/00141/00142/00143/00144/00145/00146/00147/00148/00149/00150/00151/00152/00153/00154/00155/00156/00157/00158/00159/00160/00161/00162/00163/00164/00165/00166/00167/00168/00169/00170/00171/00172/00173/00174/00175/00176/00177/00178/00179/00180/00181/00182/00183/00184/00185/00186/00187/00188/00189/00190/00191/00192/00193/00194/00195/00196/00197/00198/00199/00200/00201/00202/00203/00204/00205/00206/00207/00208/00209/00210/00211/00212/00213/00214/00215/00216/00217/00218/00219/00220/00221/00222/00223/00224/00225/00226/00227/00228/00229/00230/00231/00232/00233/00234/00235/00236/00237/00238/00239/00240/00241/00242/00243/00244/00245/00246/00247/00248/00249/00250/00251/00252/00253/00254/00255/00256/00257/00258/00259/00260/00261/00262/00263/00264/00265/00266/00267/00268/00269/00270/00271/00272/00273/00274/00275/00276/00277/00278/00279/00280/00281/00282/00283/00284/00285/00286/00287/00288/00289/002810/002811/002812/002813/002814/002815/002816/002817/002818/002819/002820/002821/002822/002823/002824/002825/002826/002827/002828/002829/002830/002831/002832/002833/002834/002835/002836/002837/002838/002839/002840/002841/002842/002843/002844/002845/002846/002847/002848/002849/002850/002851/002852/002853/002854/002855/002856/002857/002858/002859/002860/002861/002862/002863/002864/002865/002866/002867/002868/002869/002870/002871/002872/002873/002874/002875/002876/002877/002878/002879/002880/002881/002882/002883/002884/002885/002886/002887/002888/002889/002890/002891/002892/002893/002894/002895/002896/002897/002898/002899/0028100/0028101/0028102/0028103/0028104/0028105/0028106/0028107/0028108/0028109/0028110/0028111/0028112/0028113/0028114/0028115/0028116/0028117/0028118/0028119/0028120/0028121/0028122/0028123/0028124/0028125/0028126/0028127/0028128/0028129/0028130/0028131/0028132/0028133/0028134/0028135/0028136/0028137/0028138/0028139/0028140/0028141/0028142/0028143/0028144/0028145/0028146/0028147/0028148/0028149/0028150/0028151/0028152/0028153/0028154/0028155/0028156/0028157/0028158/0028159/0028160/0028161/0028162/0028163/0028164/0028165/0028166/0028167/0028168/0028169/0028170/0028171/0028172/0028173/0028174/0028175/0028176/0028177/0028178/0028179/0028180/0028181/0028182/0028183/0028184/0028185/0028186/0028187/0028188/0028189/0028190/0028191/0028192/0028193/0028194/0028195/0028196/0028197/0028198/0028199/00281910/00281911/00281912/00281913/00281914/00281915/00281916/00281917/00281918/00281919/00281920/00281921/00281922/00281923/00281924/00281925/00281926/00281927/00281928/00281929/00281930/00281931/00281932/00281933/00281934/00281935/00281936/00281937/00281938/00281939/00281940/00281941/00281942/00281943/00281944/00281945/00281946/00281947/00281948/00281949/00281950/00281951/00281952/00281953/00281954/00281955/00281956/00281957/00281958/00281959/00281960/00281961/00281962/00281963/00281964/00281965/00281966/00281967/00281968/00281969/00281970/00281971/00281972/00281973/00281974/00281975/00281976/00281977/00281978/00281979/00281980/00281981/00281982/00281983/00281984/00281985/00281986/00281987/00281988/00281989/00281990/00281991/00281992/00281993/00281994/00281995/00281996/00281997/00281998/00281999/002819100/002819101/002819102/002819103/002819104/002819105/002819106/002819107/002819108/002819109/002819110/002819111/002819112/002819113/002819114/002819115/002819116/002819117/002819118/002819119/002819120/002819121/002819122/002819123/002819124/002819125/002819126/002819127/002819128/002819129/002819130/002819131/002819132/002819133/002819134/002819135/002819136/002819137/002819138/002819139/002819140/002819141/002819142/002819143/002819144/002819145/002819146/002819147/002819148/002819149/002819150/002819151/002819152/002819153/002819154/002819155/002819156/002819157/002819158/002819159/002819160/002819161/002819162/002819163/002819164/002819165/002819166/002819167/002819168/002819169/002819170/002819171/002819172/002819173/002819174/002819175/002819176/002819177/002819178/002819179/002819180/002819181/002819182/002819183/002819184/002819185/002819186/002819187/002819188/002819189/002819190/002819191/002819192/002819193/002819194/002819195/002819196/002819197/002819198/002819199/0028191910/0028191911/0028191912/0028191913/0028191914/0028191915/0028191916/0028191917/0028191918/0028191919/0028191920/0028191921/0028191922/0028191923/0028191924/0028191925/0028191926/0028191927/0028191928/0028191929/0028191930/0028191931/0028191932/0028191933/0028191934/0028191935/0028191936/0028191937/0028191938/0028191939/0028191940/0028191941/0028191942/0028191943/0028191944/0028191945/0028191946/0028191947/0028191948/0028191949/0028191950/0028191951/0028191952/0028191953/0028191954/0028191955/0028191956/0028191957/0028191958/0028191959/0028191960/0028191961/0028191962/0028191963/0028191964/0028191965/0028191966/0028191967/0028191968/0028191969/0028191970/0028191971/0028191972/0028191973/0028191974/0028191975/0028191976/0028191977/0028191978/0028191979/0028191980/0028191981/0028191982/0028191983/0028191984/0028191985/0028191986/0028191987/0028191988/0028191989/0028191990/0028191991/0028191992/0028191993/0028191994/0028191995/0028191996/0028191997/0028191998/0028191999/00281919100/00281919101/00281919102/00281919103/00281919104/00281919105/00281919106/00281919107/00281919108/00281919109/00281919110/00281919111/00281919112/00281919113/00281919114/00281919115/00281919116/00281919117/00281919118/00281919119/00281919120/00281919121/00281919122/00281919123/00281919124/00281919125/00281919126/00281919127/00281919128/00281919129/00281919130/00281919131/00281919132/00281919133/00281919134/00281919135/00281919136/00281919137/00281919138/00281919139/00281919140/00281919141/00281919142/00281919143/00281919144/00281919145/00281919146/00281919147/00281919148/00281919149/00281919150/00281919151/00281919152/00281919153/00281919154/00281919155/00281919156/00281919157/00281919158/00281919159/00281919160/00281919161/00281919162/00281919163/00281919164/00281919165/00281919166/00281919167/00281919168/00281919169/00281919170/00281919171/00281919172/00281919173/00281919174/00281919175/00281919176/00281919177/00281919178/00281919179/00281919180/00281919181/00281919182/00281919183/00281919184/00281919185/00281919186/00281919187/00281919188/00281919189/00281919190/00281919191/00281919192/00281919193/00281919194/00281919195/00281919196/00281919197/00281919198/00281919199/002819191910/002819191911/002819191912/002819191913/002819191914/002819191915/002819191916/002819191917/002819191918/002819191919/0028191919110/0028191919111/0028191919112/0028191919113/0028191919114/0028191919115/0028191919116/0028191919117/0028191919118/0028191919119/00281919191110/00281919191111/00281919191112/00281919191113/00281919191114/00281919191115/00281919191116/00281919191117/00281919191118/00281919191119/002819191911110/002819191911111/002819191911112/002819191911113/002819191911114/002819191911115/002819191911116/002819191911117/002819191911118/002819191911119/0028191919111110/0028191919111111/0028191919111112/0028191919111113/0028191919111114/0028191919111115/0028191919111116/0028191919111117/0028191919111118/0028191919111119/00281919191111110/00281919191111111/00281919191111112/00281919191111113/00281919191111114/00281919191111115/00281919191111116/00281919191111117/00281919191111118/00281919191111119/002819191911111110/002819191911111111/002819191911111112/002819191911111113/002819191911111114/002819191911111115/002819191911111116/002819191911111117/002819191911111118/002819191911111119/0028191919111111110/0028191919111111111/0028191919111111112/0028191919111111113/0028191919111111114/0028191919111111115/0028191919111111116/0028191919111111117/0028191919111111118/0028191919111111119/00281919191111111110/00281919191111111111/00281919191111111112/00281919191111111113/00281919191111111114/00281919191111111115/00281919191111111116/00281919191111111117/00281919191111111118/00281919191111111119/002819191911111111110/002819191911111111111/002819191911111111112/002819191911111111113/002819191911111111114/002819191911111111115/002819191911111111116/002819191911111111117/002819191911111111118/002819191911111111119/0028191919111111111110/0028191919111111111111/0028191919111111111112/0028191919111111111113/0028191919111111111114/0028191919111111111115/0028191919111111111116/0028191919111111111117/0028191919111111111118/0028191919111111111119/00281919191111111111110/00281919191111111111111/00281919191111111111112/00281919191111111111113/00281919191111111111114/00281919191111111111115/00281919191111111111116/00281919191111111111117/00281919191111111111118/00281919191111111111119/002819191911111111111110/002819191911111111111111/002819191911111111111112/002819191911111111111113/002819191911111111111114/002819191911111111111115/002819191911111111111116/002819191911111111111117/002819191911111111111118/002819191911111111111119/0028191919111111111111110/00281919191111111111111111/00281919191111111111111112/00281919191111111111111113/00281919191111111111111114/00281919191111111111111115/00281919191111111111111116/00281919191111111111111117/00281919191111111111111118/00281919191111111111111119/002819191911111111111111110/0028191919111111111111111111/0028191919111111111111111112/0028191919111111111111111113/0028191919111111111111111114/0028191919111111111111111115/0028191919111111111111111116/0028191919111111111111111117/0028191919111111111111111118/0028191919111111111111111119/00281919191111111111111111110/002819191911111111111111111111/002819191911111111111111111112/002819191911111111111111111113/002819191911111111111111111114/002819191911111111111111111115/002819191911111111111111111116/002819191911111111111111111117

						rejections	35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Takeuchi, U.S. Pub. No. 20020105946 A1.	35 U.S.C. being unpatentable over Takeuchi claims 1-6, 8, 9, and 27 further in view of et al (Eng. No. 20020105946 A1).
87	US20050074169A1	4/7/2005	11/23/2004	1/6/2009	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	1. Claims 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as follows. Claims 8-14 recite a computer program product embodying functional descriptive material (i.e., a computer program or computer executable code). 2. Claims 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as follows. Claims 15-20 define a "system". However, while the preamble defines a "system", which would typically be indicative of an "apparatus", the body of the claim lacks definite structure indicative of a physical apparatus.	Claims 1-3, 7-11, and 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Su et al (US 6,519,363).	1. Claims 1-3, 7-11, and 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Su et al (US 6,519,363). 2. Claims 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as follows. Claims 15-20 define a "system". However, while the preamble defines a "system", which would typically be indicative of an "apparatus", the body of the claim lacks definite structure indicative of a physical apparatus.
88	US20050076132A1	4/7/2005	3/11/2004	4/2/2009	FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 18-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-9 and 11-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Blinn et al. (US 5897622).	Claim 9-10, 12-17, 19-23, 25-27, 30-32, 35-37, 40-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Blinn et al. (US 5897622).
89	US20050075975A1	4/7/2005	10/2/2003	11/18/2008	N/FR	101, 103 and 112 rejections	Claims 1 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not directed to a secondary statutory subject matter/class.	N/A	Claims 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not directed to a secondary statutory subject matter/class. [hereinafter "Allen-Robert et al. (US 20020105946 A1)"] Claims 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not directed to a secondary statutory subject matter/class. [hereinafter "Bissonette et al. (US 6,519,363)"] Claims 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not directed to a secondary statutory subject matter/class. [hereinafter "Eng. No. 20020105946 A1"]

90	US20050076005A1	4/7/2005	9/15/2003	1/9/2009	N/FR	101 and 103 rejections	Claims 1,3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	N/A	Claims and 19- under 3 as being over Ho Pat. No. view of S. Pat. I
91	US20050076241A1	4/7/2005	12/29/2003	3/18/2009	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 37-39 and 80-85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-57,60-77 and 80-85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ryan et al. (Ryan) US Patent Application Pub. No. 2004/0215793.	Claims are reje U.S.C. unpaten et al.(R) Applicat 2004/02 Roskin Applicat 2003/00
92	US20050080704A1	4/14/2005	10/7/2004	11/25/2008	N/FR	101, 103 and 112 rejections	Claim 1, 34 and 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	N/A	Claim 1 and 70 under 3 as being over.
93	US20050081193A1	4/14/2005	10/18/2004	2/19/2009	FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 23-25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kawachi et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,690,981).	1. Claim 28-35 a 35 U.S.c unpaten McInern Patent N 5,325,5 Conner Patent N 5,428,7 is reject U.S.C. unpaten Kawach Patent N 6,690,9 McInern Patent N 5,325,5
94	US20050081208A1	4/14/2005	9/27/2004	1/30/2009	N/FR	101 and 103 rejections	Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to nonstatutory subject matter.	N/A	Claim 1,6,10,1 28 are r U.S.C. unpaten et al. (J in view New Ap Configu Schedu based c Image

									Techno 2003).
95	US20050078748A1	4/14/2005	10/19/2004	1/29/2009	N/FR	101 and 103 rejections	Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four categories of inventions.	N/A	Claims under 3 as being over Ha (US Pat in view al. (US 5,60258
96	US20050078671A1	4/14/2005	7/14/2004	1/23/2009	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claim 53 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Computer programs are non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1,2,4, 6, 7, 38-48, 50, 51,53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Laksono (US 2003/0156218).	Claims under 3 as being over La 2003/0156218 of Matsuo 093390
97	US20070094414A1	4/26/2007	10/20/2005	3/4/2009	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 16-20 and 36-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-6, 8-9, 11-26, 28-29, 31-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Guest (U.S. Application No. 2006/0200522 A1).	Claims rejected 103(a) as unpatentable (U.S. Appl 2006/0200522 view of (U.S. Pat 6,718,5
98	US20080109349A1	5/8/2008	11/8/2006	1/8/2009	FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 16-23,24,26,28, and 29 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 16-18, 20-22, 24, 26, 28-30, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tengel et al. (hereinafter "Tengel"); (US 5,940,812).	Claims under 3 as being over Te Freeman (hereina (US 200
99	US20050078699A1	4/14/2005	10/10/2003	1/22/2009	N/FR	101, 103 and 112 rejections	Claims 25-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter since it fails to be limited to embodiments which fall within a statutory category.	N/A	Claims are reje U.S.C. 101 as unpatent et al. (U Publicat 2007002 of Liva Patent F 200201
100	US20050078751A1	4/14/2005	7/29/2003	3/3/2009	FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.c. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1 and 3-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.c. 102(b) as being anticipated by lung (US 5,825,423) as set forth in the previous Office Action, dated 07/18/08.	Claims and 21- under 3 as being over Kir as set fo previous dated 0