

# 101 rejections of Software Patents(Date of Rejections After October-30-2008)

Sl.No.	Patent/Publication No.	Date of Publication	Application Date	Date of Rejection	FR or N/FR	Rejection type	101 Rejection	102 Rejection	103 Rejection
1	US20080201671A1	8/21/2008	2/16/2007	2/25/2009	N/FR	101 and 103 rejections	Claims 1-1 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	N/A	Claims 1-4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. over Beau: US Patent 5,696,771 Higuchi, U. No. 7,299,
2	US20080155477A1	6/26/2008	12/22/2006	3/4/2009	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 1-1 1 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-5, 7-14, and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by "Optimize Your PocketPC Development" by MSDN Magazine (hereafter MSDN).	Claims 6 a rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. over "Optim PocketPC Development MSDN Magazine (hereafter view of XP
3	US20080155460A1	6/26/2008	12/22/2006	3/17/2009	N/FR	101 and 102 rejections	Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by "Maps Tour" by Google Maps Help Center (hereafter Google Maps).	N/A
4	US20080127018A1	5/29/2008	10/31/2006	2/6/2009	N/FR	101 and 103 rejections	Claims 1-1 0 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	N/A	Claims 1-3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. over Naga: US Patent 5,917,729 Viswanath Page 2 "F Efficient An Placement CellShifting Local Refin a Hybrid N ISPD?04, 2004, Pho Arizona, U Copyright 1 1-581 13-8 7-2/04/000
5	US20080127013A1	5/29/2008	10/25/2006	1/29/2009	N/FR	101, 102 and 112 rejections	1. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. 2. Claims 1-1 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-3, 7-1 0, 12-21, 23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Levy (US 200610095869 A1 ).	N/A

6	US20080127005A1	5/29/2008	9/7/2006	12/17/2008	N/FR	101 rejection	Claims 1, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The invention claims a method for analyzing a circuit.	N/A	N/A
7	US20080097923A1	4/24/2008	3/9/2007	1/6/2009	N/FR	101, 102 and 112 rejections	Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-16 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ginter (US 5892900).	N/A
8	US20080092106A1	4/17/2008	9/13/2007	4/3/2009	N/FR	101 and 102 rejections	Claim 1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claim invention is directed to nonstatutory subject matter. In re Bilski, 88 U.S.P.Q.2d 1391 (Fed. Cir. 2008) (en bane).	Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ye et al. (U.S Patent 7488933).	N/A
9	US20080204773A1	8/28/2008	2/26/2007	3/19/2009	N/FR	101 rejection	1. Claims 1-4, 7-10, 13-14 and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention. Supreme Court precedent <sup>1</sup> and recent Federal Circuit decisions <sup>2</sup> indicate that a statutory "process" under 35 U.S.C. 101 must (1) be tied to another statutory category (such as a particular apparatus), or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing. (2 In re Bilski, 88 USPQ2d 1385 (Fed. Cir. 2008).) 2. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. 3. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Venable et al. (6,972,867) in view of Kanamori et al. (4,929,978).	N/A	N/A
10	US20060070127A1	3/30/2006	9/15/2005	3/19/2009	N/FR	101, 103 and 112 rejections	Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 1 as recited is directed toward a method comprising a series of steps or acts. However, as per In re Bilski 88 USPQ2d 1385,	N/A	Claims 1-2 rejected under U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Lawrence et al. (US 2004/0100000) (hereinafter "Lawrence") and Leary et al. (US 2004/0100000)

							for a method/process to be statutory, the claim must (1) be tied to a particular machine or apparatus, or (2) transform a particular article to a different state or thing.		2004/0193 (hereinafter)
11	US20060067353A1	3/30/2006	11/29/2004	3/13/2009	N/FR	101, 102, 103 and 112 rejections	1. Claims 1-7 and 8-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. 2. claims 1-26 are rejected as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. In re Bilski, 88 USPQ2d 1385 (Fed. Cir. 2008).	Claims 1-3, 8-10, 12-13, 19-22, 27-31, 33, 36-37 and 39-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by 3GPP TR 23.846 1.0.0, Technical Report, pages 1-46, January 2002 (hereinafter "Doc").	1. Claims 4, 14-18 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Doc in Lee et al. (US 2005018550) (hereinafter "Claims 6-7") are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Doc.
12	US20060067887A1	3/30/2006	6/22/2005	3/18/2009	N/FR	101, 102, 103 and 112 rejections	Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.c. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention. Supreme Court precedent and recent Federal Circuit decisions indicate that a statutory "process" under 35 U.S.C. 101 must (1) be tied to a particular machine or apparatus, or (2) transform a particular article to a different state or thing. In re Bilski, 88 USPQ2d 1385 (Fed. Cir. 2008).	Claims 10-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.c. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,008,185 to Bacus (newly cited).	Claims 4,5, 20, 21, 22, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Bacus "Computer Detection of Cancer Nuclei" (Schnorrenberg) (previously Applicant?) hereafter referred to as "Schnorrenberg".
13	US20050075274A1	4/7/2005	9/8/2004	2/9/2009	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 1-6 and 8-10 are drawn to a process. A process is statutory subject matter under 35 U.S.C. 101 if: (1) it is tied to a particular machine or apparatus or (2) it transforms an article to a different state or thing (In re Bilski, 88 USPQ2d 1385 Fed. Cir. 2008).	Claims 1-6 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Grass et al. (US 20010041964 A1).	Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Grass et al. (US 20010041964 A1) (US 6,340,000).
14	US20060067560A1	3/30/2006	9/26/2005	1/7/2009	N/FR	101 and 102	Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not	Claims 1,6,9, and 10 are	N/A

						rejections	falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention. Supreme Court precedent 1 and recent Federal Circuit decisions (In re Bilski, 88 USPQ2d 1385 (Fed. Cir. 2008).) indicate that a statutory "process" under 35 U.S.C. 101 must (1) be tied to another statutory category (such as a particular apparatus), or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing.	rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kasai (US 2001/0021251).	
15	US20050075953A1	4/7/2005	10/2/2003	12/16/2008	FR	101 and 103 rejections	Claims 10-18 and 28-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101. Based on Supreme Court precedent and recent Federal Circuit decisions, a 35 U.S.C § 101 process must (1) be tied to a particular machine or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or materials) to a different state or thing. In re Bilski et al, 88 USPQ 2d 1385 CAFC (2008); Diamond v. Diehr, 450 U.S. 175, 184 (1981); Parker v. Flook, 437 U.S. 584, 588 n.9 (1978); Gottschalk v. Benson, 409 U.S. 63, 70 (1972); Cochrane v. Deener, 94 U.S. 780,787-88 (1876).	N/A	Claims 1-3 rejected under U.S.C. 103 being unpatentable over Yang (2003/0233) view of Wang (2005/0033)
16	US20050076103A1	4/7/2005	9/22/2003	2/5/2009	N/FR	101 and 102 rejections	Claim(s) 1- 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention. While the claims recite a series of steps or acts to be performed, a statutory "process" under 35 U.S.C. 101 must (1) be tied to particular machine, or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing. See page 10 of In Re Bilski 88 USPQ2d 1385.	Claims 1- 10 & 23- 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nachman et al. (hereinafter Nachman) U.S. Publication No.: 2001/0027474 A1.	N/A
17	US20050076331A1	4/7/2005	10/2/2003	2/18/2009	N/FR	101 and 102 rejections	Claims 7, 14, 15,21,24,27-32,34,41-46, and 53-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. A claim that requires one or more acts to be performed defines a process. However, not all processes are statutory under 35 U.S.C. § 101. To be statutory, a claimed process must either: (1) be tied to a particular machine or apparatus, or (2) transform a particular article into a different state or thing. In re Bilski, 545 F.3d 943,954 (Fed. Cir. 2008) (en bane).	Claims 53-55 and 58-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Evans et al., "Splint Manual, Version 3.1.1-1," June 5, 2003 (prior art of record; hereinafter "[Splint]").	N/A
18	US20050078755A1	4/14/2005	10/14/2004	3/30/2009	N/FR	101 rejection	Claims 1-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention. Supreme Court precedent	N/A	N/A



24	US20080235259A1	9/25/2008	3/23/2007	2/20/2009	N/FR	101 and 103 rejections	1. Claim 1 and its dependent claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. 2. Claim 9 and its dependent claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	N/A	Claims 1-2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 because the claimed invention is unpatentable over Collins et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,721,829) hereinafter "Collins-R".
25	US20080209464A1	8/28/2008	2/23/2007	3/17/2009	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claim (s) 1-1 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a process, machines, manufactures and composition of matter asserted utility or a well established utility.	Claims 1-9, 11-15 and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Broussard et al. (US 200410221305 A1), here in refer to as Broussard.	1. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 because the claimed invention is unpatentable over Broussard et al. (US 200410221305 A1), here in refer to as Broussard. Pinder et al. (US 200410237064 A1), here in refer to as Pinder. 2. Claims 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 because the claimed invention is unpatentable over Broussard et al. (US 200410221305 A1), here in refer to as Broussard. Pinder et al. (US 200410237064 A1), here in refer to as Pinder and view of Va (US 200610237064 A1).
26	US20080163148A1	7/3/2008	10/2/2007	2/3/2009	N/FR	101 and 102 rejections	Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Pub. No. 200310237064 to White et al. (Hereinafter: White).	N/A
27	US20080177702A1	7/24/2008	1/23/2007	1/7/2009	N/FR	101 and 103 rejections	Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed Page 3 invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	N/A	Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 because the claimed invention is unpatentable over Heckerman et al. (US 571 537) hereinafter "Heckerman". The Heckerman patent is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety into this patent. The date of Yemini et al. (US 200501 37702 A1) is Jun. 17, 2005. Hereinafter "Yemini".

28	US20080168409A1	7/10/2008	1/9/2007	2/3/2009	N/FR	101, 102, 103 and 112 rejections	Claims 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because claim 15 recites a computer program product comprising a computer readable medium.	Claims 1, 8 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tieg et al. (US 6526555).	1. Claims 2 are rejected under U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Teig et al. of Na. et al. effects of a package of capacitors efficient AS decoupling methodology. Claims 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164, 165, 166, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 236, 237, 238, 239, 240, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247, 248, 249, 250, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255, 256, 257, 258, 259, 260, 261, 262, 263, 264, 265, 266, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271, 272, 273, 274, 275, 276, 277, 278, 279, 280, 281, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289, 290, 291, 292, 293, 294, 295, 296, 297, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307, 308, 309, 310, 311, 312, 313, 314, 315, 316, 317, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324, 325, 326, 327, 328, 329, 330, 331, 332, 333, 334, 335, 336, 337, 338, 339, 340, 341, 342, 343, 344, 345, 346, 347, 348, 349, 350, 351, 352, 353, 354, 355, 356, 357, 358, 359, 360, 361, 362, 363, 364, 365, 366, 367, 368, 369, 370, 371, 372, 373, 374, 375, 376, 377, 378, 379, 380, 381, 382, 383, 384, 385, 386, 387, 388, 389, 390, 391, 392, 393, 394, 395, 396, 397, 398, 399, 400, 401, 402, 403, 404, 405, 406, 407, 408, 409, 410, 411, 412, 413, 414, 415, 416, 417, 418, 419, 420, 421, 422, 423, 424, 425, 426, 427, 428, 429, 430, 431, 432, 433, 434, 435, 436, 437, 438, 439, 440, 441, 442, 443, 444, 445, 446, 447, 448, 449, 450, 451, 452, 453, 454, 455, 456, 457, 458, 459, 460, 461, 462, 463, 464, 465, 466, 467, 468, 469, 470, 471, 472, 473, 474, 475, 476, 477, 478, 479, 480, 481, 482, 483, 484, 485, 486, 487, 488, 489, 490, 491, 492, 493, 494, 495, 496, 497, 498, 499, 500, 501, 502, 503, 504, 505, 506, 507, 508, 509, 510, 511, 512, 513, 514, 515, 516, 517, 518, 519, 520, 521, 522, 523, 524, 525, 526, 527, 528, 529, 530, 531, 532, 533, 534, 535, 536, 537, 538, 539, 540, 541, 542, 543, 544, 545, 546, 547, 548, 549, 550, 551, 552, 553, 554, 555, 556, 557, 558, 559, 560, 561, 562, 563, 564, 565, 566, 567, 568, 569, 570, 571, 572, 573, 574, 575, 576, 577, 578, 579, 580, 581, 582, 583, 584, 585, 586, 587, 588, 589, 590, 591, 592, 593, 594, 595, 596, 597, 598, 599, 600, 601, 602, 603, 604, 605, 606, 607, 608, 609, 610, 611, 612, 613, 614, 615, 616, 617, 618, 619, 620, 621, 622, 623, 624, 625, 626, 627, 628, 629, 630, 631, 632, 633, 634, 635, 636, 637, 638, 639, 640, 641, 642, 643, 644, 645, 646, 647, 648, 649, 650, 651, 652, 653, 654, 655, 656, 657, 658, 659, 660, 661, 662, 663, 664, 665, 666, 667, 668, 669, 670, 671, 672, 673, 674, 675, 676, 677, 678, 679, 680, 681, 682, 683, 684, 685, 686, 687, 688, 689, 690, 691, 692, 693, 694, 695, 696, 697, 698, 699, 700, 701, 702, 703, 704, 705, 706, 707, 708, 709, 710, 711, 712, 713, 714, 715, 716, 717, 718, 719, 720, 721, 722, 723, 724, 725, 726, 727, 728, 729, 730, 731, 732, 733, 734, 735, 736, 737, 738, 739, 740, 741, 742, 743, 744, 745, 746, 747, 748, 749, 750, 751, 752, 753, 754, 755, 756, 757, 758, 759, 760, 761, 762, 763, 764, 765, 766, 767, 768, 769, 770, 771, 772, 773, 774, 775, 776, 777, 778, 779, 780, 781, 782, 783, 784, 785, 786, 787, 788, 789, 790, 791, 792, 793, 794, 795, 796, 797, 798, 799, 800, 801, 802, 803, 804, 805, 806, 807, 808, 809, 810, 811, 812, 813, 814, 815, 816, 817, 818, 819, 820, 821, 822, 823, 824, 825, 826, 827, 828, 829, 830, 831, 832, 833, 834, 835, 836, 837, 838, 839, 840, 841, 842, 843, 844, 845, 846, 847, 848, 849, 850, 851, 852, 853, 854, 855, 856, 857, 858, 859, 860, 861, 862, 863, 864, 865, 866, 867, 868, 869, 870, 871, 872, 873, 874, 875, 876, 877, 878, 879, 880, 881, 882, 883, 884, 885, 886, 887, 888, 889, 890, 891, 892, 893, 894, 895, 896, 897, 898, 899, 900, 901, 902, 903, 904, 905, 906, 907, 908, 909, 910, 911, 912, 913, 914, 915, 916, 917, 918, 919, 920, 921, 922, 923, 924, 925, 926, 927, 928, 929, 930, 931, 932, 933, 934, 935, 936, 937, 938, 939, 940, 941, 942, 943, 944, 945, 946, 947, 948, 949, 950, 951, 952, 953, 954, 955, 956, 957, 958, 959, 960, 961, 962, 963, 964, 965, 966, 967, 968, 969, 970, 971, 972, 973, 974, 975, 976, 977, 978, 979, 980, 981, 982, 983, 984, 985, 986, 987, 988, 989, 990, 991, 992, 993, 994, 995, 996, 997, 998, 999, 1000.
29	US20080162377A1	7/3/2008	12/19/2007	1/7/2009	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 26-49 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because based on Supreme Court precedent (Diamond v. Diehr, 450 U.S. 175, 184 (1981); Parker v. Flook, 437	Claims 1-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being disclosed by Cifrese et al., USPAP 200710192223.	Claim 1-51 are rejected under U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Cifrese et al. USPAP 200710192223, and view of Hord et al., USPAP 200510246.
30	US20080235177A1	9/25/2008	3/22/2007	1/27/2009	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the language of the claim raises a question as to whether the claim is directed merely to an abstract idea that is not tied to a technological art, environment or machine which would result in a practice application producing a concrete, useful, and tangible result to form the basis of statutory subject matter under 35 U.S.C 101.	Claims 1, 9-11 and 14-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lawrence et al. (US Patent No. 7,389,265 B2, hereinafter "Lawrence").	Claims 2-8, 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lawrence Patent No. B2) as applicable to claims 1, 9-11, 14-19, 20-21, 22-23, 24-25, 26-27, 28-29, 30-31, 32-33, 34-35, 36-37, 38-39, 40-41, 42-43, 44-45, 46-47, 48-49, 50-51, 52-53, 54-55, 56-57, 58-59, 60-61, 62-63, 64-65, 66-67, 68-69, 70-71, 72-73, 74-75, 76-77, 78-79, 80-81, 82-83, 84-85, 86-87, 88-89, 90-91, 92-93, 94-95, 96-97, 98-99, 100-101, 102-103, 104-105, 106-107, 108-109, 110-111, 112-113, 114-115, 116-117, 118-119, 120-121, 122-123, 124-125, 126-127, 128-129, 130-131, 132-133, 134-135, 136-137, 138-139, 140-141, 142-143, 144-145, 146-147, 148-149, 150-151, 152-153, 154-155, 156-157, 158-159, 160-161, 162-163, 164-165, 166-167, 168-169, 170-171, 172-173, 174-175, 176-177, 178-179, 180-181, 182-183, 184-185, 186-187, 188-189, 190-191, 192-193, 194-195, 196-197, 198-199, 200-201, 202-203, 204-205, 206-207, 208-209, 210-211, 212-213, 214-215, 216-217, 218-219, 220-221, 222-223, 224-225, 226-227, 228-229, 230-231, 232-233, 234-235, 236-237, 238-239, 240-241, 242-243, 244-245, 246-247, 248-249, 250-251, 252-253, 254-255, 256-257, 258-259, 260-261, 262-263, 264-265, 266-267, 268-269, 270-271, 272-273, 274-275, 276-277, 278-279, 280-281, 282-283, 284-285, 286-287, 288-289, 290-291, 292-293, 294-295, 296-297, 298-299, 300-301, 302-303, 304-305, 306-307, 308-309, 310-311, 312-313, 314-315, 316-317, 318-319, 320-321, 322-323, 324-325, 326-327, 328-329, 330-331, 332-333, 334-335, 336-337, 338-339, 340-341, 342-343, 344-345, 346-347, 348-349, 350-351, 352-353, 354-355, 356-357, 358-359, 360-361, 362-363, 364-365, 366-367, 368-369, 370-371, 372-373, 374-375, 376-377, 378-379, 380-381, 382-383, 384-385, 386-387, 388-389, 390-391, 392-393, 394-395, 396-397, 398-399, 400-401, 402-403, 404-405, 406-407, 408-409, 410-411, 412-413, 414-415, 416-417, 418-419, 420-421, 422-423, 424-425, 426-427, 428-429, 430-431, 432-433, 434-435, 436-437, 438-439, 440-441, 442-443, 444-445, 446-447, 448-449, 450-451, 452-453, 454-455, 456-457, 458-459, 460-461, 462-463, 464-465, 466-467, 468-469, 470-471, 472-473, 474-475, 476-477, 478-479, 480-481, 482-483, 484-485, 486-487, 488-489, 490-491, 492-493, 494-495, 496-497, 498-499, 500-501, 502-503, 504-505, 506-507, 508-509, 510-511, 512-513, 514-515, 516-517, 518-519, 520-521, 522-523, 524-525, 526-527, 528-529, 530-531, 532-533, 534-535, 536-537, 538-539, 540-541, 542-543, 544-545, 546-547, 548-549, 550-551, 552-553, 554-555, 556-557, 558-559, 560-561, 562-563, 564-565, 566-567, 568-569, 570-571, 572-573, 574-575, 576-577, 578-579, 580-581, 582-583, 584-585, 586-587, 588-589, 590-591, 592-593, 594-595, 596-597, 598-599, 600-601, 602-603, 604-605, 606-607, 608-609, 610-611, 612-613, 614-615, 616-617, 618-619, 620-621, 622-623, 624-625, 626-627, 628-629, 630-631, 632-633, 634-635, 636-637, 638-639, 640-641, 642-643, 644-645, 646-647, 648-649, 650-651, 652-653, 654-655, 656-657, 658-659, 660-661, 662-663, 664-665, 666-667, 668-669, 670-671, 672-673, 674-675, 676-677, 678-679, 680-681, 682-683, 684-685, 686-687, 688-689, 690-691, 692-693, 694-695, 696-697, 698-699, 700-701, 702-703, 704-705, 706-707, 708-709, 710-711, 712-713, 714-715, 716-717, 718-719, 720-721, 722-723, 724-725, 726-727, 728-729, 730-731, 732-733, 734-735, 736-737, 738-739, 740-741, 742-743, 744-745, 746-747, 748-749, 750-751, 752-753, 754-755, 756-757, 758-759, 760-761, 762-763, 764-765, 766-767, 768-769, 770-771, 772-773, 774-775, 776-777, 778-779, 780-781, 782-783, 784-785, 786-787, 788-789, 790-791, 792-793, 794-795, 796-797, 798-799, 800-801, 802-803, 804-805, 806-807, 808-809, 810-811, 812-813, 814-815, 816-817, 818-819, 820-821, 822-823, 824-825, 826-827, 828-829, 830-831, 832-833, 834-835, 836-837, 838-839, 840-841, 842-843, 844-845, 846-847, 848-849, 850-851, 852-853, 854-855, 856-857, 858-859, 860-861, 862-863, 864-865, 866-867, 868-869, 870-871, 872-873, 874-875, 876-877, 878-879, 880-881, 882-883, 884-885, 886-887, 888-889, 890-891, 892-893, 894-895, 896-897, 898-899, 900-901, 902-903, 904-905, 906-907, 908-909, 910-911, 912-913, 914-915, 916-917, 918-919, 920-921, 922-923, 924-925, 926-927, 928-929, 930-931, 932-933, 934-935, 936-937, 938-939, 940-941, 942-943, 944-945, 946-947, 948-949, 950-951, 952-953, 954-955, 956-957, 958-959, 960-961, 962-963, 964-965, 966-967, 968-969, 970-971, 972-973, 974-975, 976-977, 978-979, 980-981, 982-983, 984-985, 986-987, 988-989, 990-991, 992-993, 994-995, 996-997, 998-999, 1000.
31	US20080197846A1	8/21/2008	3/10/2008	12/17/2008	N/FR	101 and 103 rejections	Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	N/A	Claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164, 165, 166, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 236, 237, 238, 239, 240, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247, 248, 249, 250, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255, 256, 257, 258, 259, 260, 261, 262, 263, 264, 265, 266, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271, 272, 273, 274, 275, 276, 277, 278, 279, 280, 281, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289, 290, 291, 292, 293, 294, 295, 296, 297, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307, 308, 309, 310, 311, 312, 313, 314, 315, 316, 317, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324, 325, 326, 327, 328, 329, 330, 331, 332, 333, 334, 335, 336, 337, 338, 339, 340, 341, 342, 343, 344, 345, 346, 347, 348, 349, 350, 351, 352, 353, 354, 355, 356, 357, 358, 359, 360, 361, 362, 363, 364, 365, 366, 367, 368, 369, 370, 371, 372, 373, 374, 375, 376, 377, 378, 379, 380, 381, 382, 383, 384, 385, 386, 387, 388, 389, 390, 391, 392, 393, 394, 395, 396, 397, 398, 399, 400, 401, 402, 403, 404, 405, 406, 407, 408, 409, 410, 411, 412, 413, 414, 415, 416, 417, 418, 419, 420, 421, 422, 423, 424, 425, 426, 427, 428, 429, 430, 431, 432, 433, 434, 435, 436,

32	US20080235739A1	9/25/2008	11/13/2006	11/25/2008	N/FR	101, 102, 103 and 112 rejections	Claims 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because computer programs per se cannot be patentable.	Claims 1-3, 10-15, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dureau et al. (U.S. Publication No. 200310093806).	1. Claims 1-3 are rejected under U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Dureau et al. (U.S. Publication No. 200310093806) applied to the claims above, and in view of Sano et al. (U.S. Publication No. 200210055200210055) applied to the claims above, and in view of Ro (U.S. Patent 5,623,613)
33	US20080235429A1	9/25/2008	3/23/2007	12/5/2008	N/FR	101 and 103 rejections	Claims 13, 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 13 and 15 are directed to a program on a propagating signal13	N/A	Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Landis et al. (WO-2005100001) in view of a1 PN 7,25
34	US20080162427A1	7/3/2008	12/28/2006	12/10/2008	N/FR	101 and 102 rejections	Claims 15- 20 are rejected under 35 USC 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable over Choi et al (US Pub. No. 2004101 86826), herein after "Choi".	N/A
35	US20080154907A1	6/26/2008	12/22/2006	1/15/2009	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claim 23 and depending claims 24-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because they pertain to nonstatutory subject matter.	Claims 1-18, 21-40, and 43-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Plastina et al. (?Plastina? hereafter) which filed U.S. Patent Application 20041001 9658.	Claims 19-20 and similar claims are rejected under U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Plasti applied to claims 21-40, and above, and in view of New (?New? hereafter) who filed U.S. Patent Application 2006/0195
36	US20080155641A1	6/26/2008	12/20/2006	3/18/2009	N/FR	101 and 102 rejections	Claims 1-1 4, 15, 16-25, 26, and 27-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Heim (US Publication 2006101 84490).	N/A
37	US20080155592A1	6/26/2008	12/22/2006	2/3/2009	N/FR	101 and 103 rejections	Claims 15-21 and 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as follows: Claims 15-21 claim "a computer readable	N/A	Claims 1-2 are rejected under U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Pelke (US Patent 7,032,235) and Bove (US Patent 7,2331 4).

							medium containing a computer program for. ...." and Claims 25-27 claim "a data structure stored in memory".		
38	US20080155476A1	6/26/2008	12/20/2006	11/26/2008	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1, 4-6, 9-12, 17-18, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Callegari (PGPub. No. 200310004802).	1. Claim 2 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Callegari (PGPub. No. 200310004802) in view of No. 20071130 (PGPub No. 200510130). Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Callegari (PGPub. No. 200310004802) in view of Ma No. 20071130 (Filing date 2006). 3. Claims 13, 15-16, 17-18, 19, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(b) as being unpatentable over Callegari (PGPub. No. 200310004802) in view of Eli (PGPub No. 200810088) Provisional Oct. 10, 2006. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Callegari (PGPub. No. 200310004802) in view of Eli (PGPub No. 200810088) Provisional Oct. 10, 2006 further in view of Northcutt (200510130).
39	US20080155471A1	6/26/2008	12/20/2006	3/6/2009	N/FR	101 and 102 rejections	Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter (i.e., computer data signal that is not tied to any machine).	Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. App. Pub. 200310065721 to Roskind.	N/A
40	US20080155342A1	6/26/2008	12/21/2006	4/2/2009	N/FR	101, 103 and 112 rejections	Claims 7, 9-14 and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being directed to nonstatutory subject matter	N/A	1. Claims 1 and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(b) as being unpatentable over Thekl Patent App. Publication 200610225.
41	US20080155332A1	6/26/2008	10/30/2006	12/29/2008	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claims are	Claims 1-2, 4, 6-7, 11-12, and 14 are rejected	1. Claims 3 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(b) as being unpatentable over Thekl Patent App. Publication 200610225.

							directed to non-statutory subject matter.	under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Loison (US 200310046529 A1).	being unpatentable over Loison (US 200310046529 A1). view of Tarr (US 2004101332). Claims 5 rejected under U.S.C. 103 being unpatentable over Loison (US 200310046529 A1). view of Ba (US 2002101553). Claims 8 rejected under U.S.C. 103 being unpatentable over Loison (US 200310046529 A1). view of Ma (US 6,922,722)
42	US20080127229A1	5/29/2008	9/8/2006	3/5/2009	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	1. Claims 1-2, 6, 11-12 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Garnett (US 2003/0033459), hereafter referred to as Garnett?459. 2. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 11-14, and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pecone et al. (US 6,098,140), hereafter referred to as Pecone et al.?140.	1. Claims 1-2, 6, 11-12 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Garnett (US 2003/0033459), hereafter referred to as Garnett?459. 2. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 11-14, and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pecone et al. (US 6,098,140), hereafter referred to as Pecone et al.?140.
43	US20080127219A1	5/29/2008	9/15/2006	2/27/2009	N/FR	101 and 102 rejections	Claims 10-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Upton (US 200310093471).	N/A
44	US20080127103A1	5/29/2008	7/27/2006	12/10/2008	N/FR	101 and 103 rejections	Claims 21-30,34,35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	N/A	Claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Voruganti (US Publication 2005013781) in view of Pa (US Publication Number 200602090A1).
45	US20080098443A1	4/24/2008	1/11/2007	11/28/2008	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 17, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention.	Claims 2-4, 7-11, 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ellis et al. (US 200210174430).	1. Claims 5 rejected under U.S.C. 103 being unpatentable over Ellis et al. (US 200210174430) in view of Sh (US 6,353,353). Claims 1 are rejected under U.S.C. 103 being unpatentable over Ellis et al. (US 200210174430) in view of Kn (US 20051020174430).
46	US20080098423A1	4/24/2008	10/20/2006	2/27/2009	FR				

						101, 102, 103 and 112 rejections	Claims 1-9 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-1 2, 14-1 7, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zigmund et al. (US 6698020).	1. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zigmund et al. (US 6698020) and Lu (US 2003/015715). 2. Claims 7-9 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young in v. Palazzo et al. (US 2003/015715).
47	US20080098242A1	4/24/2008	10/19/2006	3/31/2009	N/FR	101 and 102 rejections	Claims 7-1 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-4, 7-1 0, 13-1 5 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pessolano, U.S. Patent No. 7,340,628.	N/A
48	US20080098187A1	4/24/2008	10/18/2006	1/16/2009	N/FR	101 and 102 rejections	Claims 7-1 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed limitation lines 2-3, "computer usable medium" is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Micka (US. Pub. No. 200310158869).	N/A
49	US20080098131A1	4/24/2008	9/26/2007	1/22/2009	N/FR	101 and 102 rejections	Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent Application Publication No. US 200710033225 A1 to Davis.	N/A
50	US20080098067A1	4/24/2008	10/20/2006	2/20/2009	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 21 -23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claim 1-1 8, 21 -24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Santos (US 2003/0158900 A1)	1. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Santos (US 2003/0158900 A1) in view of Dorenbois et al. (US 2003/0158900 A1). Hereinafter "Dorenbois". Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Santos (US 2003/0158900 A1) in view of Mannaru (US 2006/0158900 A1). Hereinafter "Mannaru".

51	US20080098066A1	4/24/2008	10/20/2006	2/19/2009	N/FR	101 and 102 rejections	Claims 11 -1 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claim 1-1 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Durazo et al. (US 200510004990 A1). Hereinafter "Durazo".	N/A
52	US20080098062A1	4/24/2008	10/20/2006	12/10/2008	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	1. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Deng et al. (US 20060184609 A1). 2. Claims 7-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Deng et al. (US 20060184609 A1). 3. Claims 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Deng et al. (US 20060184609 A1).	Claims 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deng et al. (US 20060184609 A1), in view of Mannaru et al. (US 2006/0158900 A1).

53	US20080098051A1	4/24/2008	1/24/2007	1/12/2009	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 12-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 12 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Apple Inc - Technical Note TNI 150 - HFS Plus Volume Format dated March 5, 2004 - Applicant's IDS (hereinafter, Technical note TNI 150).	1. Claim under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being over prior art (hereinafter note TN Okada et al. (U.S. 2005/007,003,4) Humphreys et al. (U.S. 2005/019,808) and US Patent Publication 2005/019,808).
54	US20080097974A1	4/24/2008	10/18/2006	3/6/2009	FR	101 and 102 rejections	Claim 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed non-statutory subject matter.	N/A	Claims 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being unpatentable over Roberts et al. (U.S. 2005/007,003,4) and Bumgarner et al. (U.S. 2005/019,808).
55	US20080098054A1	4/24/2008	10/23/2006	3/4/2009	N/A	101, 102 and 112 rejections	Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-11 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Subramoney et al. US Publication 2005/0198088.	Claims 103(a) and 103(b) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being unpatentable over Subramoney et al. (US Publication 2005/0198088) and Little et al. (US Publication 2005/0198088).
56	US20080097945A1	4/24/2008	12/19/2007	12/18/2008	N/FR	101, 102, 103 and 112 rejections	Claims 1-2, 7, 12-19, 23 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the disclosed invention is inoperative and therefore lacks utility.	Claims 13-17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lee et al. "A framework for constructing features and models for intrusion detection systems", TISSEC, 2000, pp 227-261), hereinafter LS.	Claims 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being over LS. Zhang et al. (US Patent Publication 2005/019,808).
57	US20080097899A1	4/24/2008	7/13/2007	10/30/2008	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-6, 8-9, 15-20, 22-29, and 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Josephson et al. (hereinafter "Josephson"); (US 5,412,190).	Claims 103(a) and 103(b) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being unpatentable over Josephson et al. (US 5,412,190) and Official Gazette.
58	US20080086556A1	4/10/2008	10/10/2006	1/9/2009	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 31-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-2, 4-5, 8, 12-17, 19-20, 22-24, 26-27, and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Vanderbeck et al. (US 7,000,016, hereinafter Vanderbeck).	1. Claim under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being over Vanderbeck et al. (US 7,000,016) applied in view of al. ("Entirety of Dynamic with Ind...

										Informa Worksh Procee Fifth An hereina Claims 28, and under 3 as being over Va applied view of 2004/01 hereina
59	US20080082613A1	4/3/2008	9/28/2006	3/30/2009	N/FR	101, 103 and 112 rejections	Claims 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	N/A	1. Claim 10-14, a rejected 103(a) a unpaten (US 677 Gilbert 2005/00 Claims 20 are n U.S.C. unpaten (US 677 Gilbert 2005/00 Bill (US A1).	
60	US20080082400A1	4/3/2008	9/28/2007	3/23/2009	N/FR	101, 102 and 112 rejections	Claims 1-7 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by US Patent Application Publication Number 2006/0173744 by Kandasamy et al.	N/A	
61	US20080079923A1	4/3/2008	8/9/2007	11/13/2008	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-4, 8-11 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jain [US 20030206281 A1].	Claims 18-20 a 35 U.S.C. being un Jain in v Sandstr 200400 teaching been dis	
62	US20080098264A1	4/24/2008	12/19/2007	4/6/2009	N/FR	101 rejection	Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter.	N/A	N/A	
63	US20080092001A1	4/17/2008	10/3/2006	3/20/2009	N/FR	101, 103 and 112 rejections	Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because: Regarding claim 34, the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. "A computer program product" is non-statutory subject matter. Applicant has failed to recite a physical media	N/A	1. Claim 24 are n U.S.C. unpaten Toyoda Patent 7 Toyoda Roberts Patent 6 Roberts rejected 103(a) a unpaten Toyoda	

							for the computer program. Therefor the claim is not directed as a useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or improvement thereof. MPEP 2106.01		
64	US20080091978A1	4/17/2008	10/13/2006	12/31/2008	N/FR	101 and 102 rejections	Claims 1-11 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ta et al. U.s. Publication No. 2005/0262086 (herein as ?Ta?).	N/A
65	US20080091843A1	4/17/2008	10/12/2006	3/17/2009	N/FR	101, 103 and 112 rejections	Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	N/A	Claims under 3 as being over Seo (seocon archive. 10, 200 Seo) in (Zann M page on dated M hereina
66	US20060069914A1	3/30/2006	8/17/2005	12/31/2008	N/FR	101 and 103 rejections	Regarding claims 1-10, the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	N/A	Claims under 3 as being over AI Applicar Web/M authentic web acc and Kar Applicar 03/0775
67	US20060069741A1	3/30/2006	5/26/2005	11/14/2008	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claim 41 is rejected under U.S.C.101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 41-42 are rejected under 35 US.C102 (b) as being anticipated by Bays et al hereinafter Bays (US. 2003/0204619 A1).	Claims rejected 103(a) a unpaten (US 200 view of 6,944,5
68	US20060067686A1	3/30/2006	9/29/2005	3/31/2009	FR	101 rejection	Claims 1,3-5,7-9,11-13, and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.c. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to	N/A	N/A

							non-statutory subject matter.		
69	US20060069615A1	3/30/2006	9/29/2004	1/9/2009	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	1. Claims 1-12, 21-22 and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1,3,4,8,9,21,23,28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Taub et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0105666).	Claims 5-7,10-23,30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. (2003/0105666).
70	US20060067714A1	3/30/2006	6/7/2005	3/13/2009	N/FR	101, 102, 103 and 112 rejections	Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-4, 8, and 10-16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Maeda et al. (US 5,491,678).	Claims 1, 103(a) and 103(b) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. (US 5,491,678).
71	US20060067425A1	3/30/2006	8/24/2005	4/6/2009	N/FR	101, 103 and 112 rejections	Claims 4-10, and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention.	N/A	Claims 17, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. (US 6,298,000). (Hilborn et al. after Hilborn v. United States Patent and Trademark Office, 351 F.3d 1364, 1370 (CA-10, 2002)).
72	US20060068745A1	3/30/2006	9/27/2004	12/10/2008	N/FR	101 and 103 rejections	Claim(s) 14-25, 29, 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention.	N/A	Claims 14-25, 29, 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention. (US 7,100,000). (Schmidt et al. v. American Telephone and Telegraph Company, 399 F.3d 1335, 1340 (CA-10, 2008)).



									being un Cheng i Griswol in view Pat. 6,9 Claims rejected 103(a) a unpater Cheng i Griswol in view Pat. 5,6 hereina Lindber
77	US20060069916A1	3/30/2006	8/17/2005	12/30/2008	N/FR	101 and 103 rejections	claims 1-10, the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims recite only perfunctory recitation of functional material (device, product, etc.). Aside from this, the claims recite only nonfunctional descriptive material. In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994); In re Ngai, 367 F.3d 1336,70 USPQ2d 1862 (Fed. Cir. 2004).	N/A	Claims under 3 as being over Ha Applicat applicat (AlQaye Web/Mc authentic web acc
78	US20060069906A1	3/30/2006	9/30/2004	4/8/2009	N/FR	101, 103 and 112 rejections	Claim 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claims recite a BIOS (basic input output system) containing instructions.	N/A	1. Claim rejected 103(a) a unpater Patent N Natu et Patent N 2004/01 et al. 2. 12,14-1 rejected 103(a) a unpater Patent N Natu et Patent N 2004/01 et al. 3. rejected 103(a) a unpater Patent N Natu et Patent N Zintel et
79	US20060069713A1	3/30/2006	8/27/2004	3/18/2009	N/FR	101, 102, 103 and 112 rejections	Claims 33-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	1. Claims 1-2,4-7, 9, 11-15, 33-34, 36-37, and 41-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Thurlow et al. (US 5,917,489). 2. Claims 16-18, 20-28, 30-32, and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Geiger et al. (US 6,073,142).	1. Claim under 3 as being over Th Claims rejected 103(a) a unpater Thurlow RFC 28 Transfe Claims rejected 103(a) a unpater Thurlow Geiger 19 and under 3 as being

									over Ge of RFC
80	US20060069667A1	3/30/2006	9/30/2004	1/21/2009	N/FR	101 and 103 rejections	Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	N/A	Claims 1-10, 12 & 28-29 under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter over Dw (Pub No. 6,915,025 A1), hereinafter referred to as Dw (Rothwell Patent No. 6,915,025 A1), hereinafter referred to as R
81	US20060069631A1	3/30/2006	9/21/2005	1/6/2009	FR	101, 102, 103 and 112 rejections	Claims 14 - 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1, 7, 8, 11 -14, 17, 20, 21 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by O'Brien et al (USPub. No. 2003/0144950).	Claims 1, 7, 8, 11 -14, 17, 20, 21 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by O'Brien et al (USPub. No. 2003/0144950).
82	US20060068783A1	3/30/2006	3/15/2005	11/6/2008	N/FR	101 and 112 rejections	Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	N/A	Claims 1, 8-9, 14 & 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter over Hyvarinen et al (US Patent No. 2002/0068783 A1) in view of US Patent No. 2003/0144950).
83	US20060067591A1	3/30/2006	9/26/2005	1/29/2009	N/FR	101 and 102 rejections	Claims 1-10 and 22-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-2, 9-12, 19-23, and 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wang et al (US Patent No 6,915,025).	N/A
84	US20060067587A1	3/30/2006	9/26/2005	12/22/2008	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 13-15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention.	Claims 4-5, 13-14 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hoshi (US Patent No.: 7,379,624).	Claims 1, 7, 8, 11 -14, 17, 20, 21 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by O'Brien et al (USPub. No.: 7,379,624).
85	US20060067503A1	3/30/2006	6/7/2005	11/13/2008	N/FR	101 and 103 rejections	Claim 39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because The claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 39 includes computer - readable medium, but in specification, computer readable medium is described as electrical signal, e.g., in [0011].	N/A	Claim 1, 7, 8, 11 -14, 17, 20, 21 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by O'Brien et al (USPub. No.: 7,379,624).
86	US20060067343A1	3/30/2006	9/28/2005	12/3/2008	N/FR	101, 102 and 103	Claim 26 is rejected under	Claims 1-6, 8, 9, 11, 12, , 16-21, 26, and 27 are rejected under 35	Claims 1, 7, 8, 11 -14, 17, 20, 21 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by O'Brien et al (USPub. No.: 7,379,624).

						rejections	35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Takeuchi, U.S. Pub. No. 20020105946 A1.	35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.
87	US20050074169A1	4/7/2005	11/23/2004	1/6/2009	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	1. Claims 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.c. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as follows. Claims 8-14 recite a computer program product embodying functional descriptive material (i.e., a computer program or computer executable code). 2. Claims 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.c. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as follows. Claims 15-20 define a "system". However, while the preamble defines a "system", which would typically be indicative of an "apparatus", the body of the claim lacks definite structure indicative of a physical apparatus.	Claims 1-3, 7-11, and 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Su et al (US 6,519,363).	1. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter over Su et al (US 6,519,363). Claim 1 is not in the view of Claims 1-3, 7-11, and 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Su et al (US 6,519,363).
88	US20050076132A1	4/7/2005	3/11/2004	4/2/2009	FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 18-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-9 and 11-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Blinn et al. (US 5897622).	Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. Blinn et al. (US 5897622).
89	US20050075975A1	4/7/2005	10/2/2003	11/18/2008	N/FR	101, 103 and 112 rejections	Claims 1 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not directed to a secondary statutory subject matter/class.	N/A	Claims 1 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not directed to a secondary statutory subject matter/class. Allen-Ross et al. (US 2002/0105946 A1) [hereinafter "Allen-Ross"]; Bissone et al. (US 2002/0105946 A1) [hereinafter "Bissone"]; further information is provided in the Notice.

90	US20050076005A1	4/7/2005	9/15/2003	1/9/2009	N/FR	101 and 103 rejections	Claims 1,3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.c. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	N/A	Claims and 19- under 35 as being over Ho Pat. No view of S. Pat. I.
91	US20050076241A1	4/7/2005	12/29/2003	3/18/2009	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 37-39 and 80-85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-57,60-77 and 80-85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ryan et al, (Ryan) US Patent Application Pub. No. 2004/0215793.	Claims are reje U.S.C. 101 unpaten et al, (Ry Applicat 2004/02 Roskind Applicat 2003/00
92	US20050080704A1	4/14/2005	10/7/2004	11/25/2008	N/FR	101, 103 and 112 rejections	Claim 1, 34 and 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	N/A	Claim 1 and 70 under 35 as being over.
93	US20050081193A1	4/14/2005	10/18/2004	2/19/2009	FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 23-25 and 27 are rejected under 35 US.c. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kawachi et al. (US. Patent Number 6,690,981).	1. Claim 28-35 a 35 US.c unpaten McInerr Patent N 5,325,53 Conner Patent N 5,428,71 is reject U.S.C. 101 unpaten Kawach Patent N 6,690,981 McInerr Patent N 5,325,53
94	US20050081208A1	4/14/2005	9/27/2004	1/30/2009	N/FR	101 and 103 rejections	Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to nonstatutory subject matter.	N/A	Claim 1,6,10,1 28 are n U.S.C. 101 unpaten et al. (J in view of New Ap Configur Schedu based o Image

										Technol 2003).
95	US20050078748A1	4/14/2005	10/19/2004	1/29/2009	N/FR	101 and 103 rejections	Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four categories of inventions.	N/A	Claims under 3 as being over Ha (US Pat in view of al. (US 5,60258	
96	US20050078671A1	4/14/2005	7/14/2004	1/23/2009	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claim 53 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Computer programs are non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1,2,4, 6, 7, 38-48, 50, 51,53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Laksono (US 2003/0156218).	Claims under 3 as being over Lal 2003/01 of Mats 093390	
97	US20070094414A1	4/26/2007	10/20/2005	3/4/2009	N/FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 16-20 and 36-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1-6, 8-9, 11-26, 28-29, 31-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Guest (U.S. Application No. 2006/0200522 A1).	Claims rejected 103(a) unpaten (U.S. Ap 2006/02 view of (U.S. Pa 6,718,5	
98	US20080109349A1	5/8/2008	11/8/2006	1/8/2009	FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claims 16-23,24,26,28, and 29 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 16-18, 20-22, 24, 26, 28-30, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tengel et al. (hereinafter "Tengel"); (US 5,940,812).	Claims under 3 as being over Te Freema (hereina (US 200	
99	US20050078699A1	4/14/2005	10/10/2003	1/22/2009	N/FR	101, 103 and 112 rejections	Claims 25-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.c. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter since it fails to be limited to embodiments which fall within a statutory category.	N/A	Claims are reje U.S.C. unpaten et al. (U Publicat 200700 of Liva e Patent f 200201	
100	US20050078751A1	4/14/2005	7/29/2003	3/3/2009	FR	101, 102 and 103 rejections	Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.c. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.	Claims 1 and 3-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.c. 102(b) as being anticipated by lung (US 5,825,423) as set forth in the previous Office Action, dated 07/18/08.	Claims and 21- under 3 as being over Kir as set fo previous dated 0	